### **Environmental Protection Agency**

compliance consistent with the performance requirements; applicable time periods for data entries; and a clear, separate provision that requires records to be kept.

(b)-(c) [Reserved]

[56 FR 10173, Mar. 11, 1991, as amended at 57 FR 28626, June 26, 1992; 59 FR 18317, Apr. 18, 1994; 60 FR 10508, Feb. 27, 1995; 61 FR 37390, July 18, 1996]

#### §52.2226 [Reserved]

# § 52.2227 Prevention of air pollution emergency episodes.

(a) The requirements of §51.152(a) of this chapter are not met since the plan does not provide for the enforcement of emission control actions for mobile sources during air pollution emergency episodes.

[37 FR 10895, May 31, 1972, as amended at 51 FR 40677, Nov. 7, 1986]

## §52.2228 Review of new sources and modifications.

- (a) Part D—Conditional approval. The Nashville-Davidson County regulation for the review of new sources and modifications in nonattainment areas is approved on condition that the State by October 31, 1984, submit a revision limiting source shutdown credit for offsets to replacement units, and, in the interim, assure implementation of the regulation in conformity with Federal requirements.
- (b) Section 123—Conditional approval. The plan's provision for implementation of the requirements of section 123 of the Clean Air Act in Nashville-Davidson County is approved on condition that the State by October 31, 1984, submit:
- (1) Definitions in the local regulation of nearby and excessive concentration and
- (2) Provision in the local regulation for public notification and opportunity for hearing in cases where stack heights in excess of normal good engineering practice are proposed on the basis of fluid modeling demonstrations, and, in the interim, assure implementation of the local regulation in conformity with Federal requirements.
- (c) The State of Tennessee proposed to delete section 1200-3-18-.03 "Standard for New Sources" from the Ten-

nessee State Implementation Plan (SIP) and the Memphis-Shelby County portion of the Tennessee SIP. EPA is disapproving the deletion of this rule for the Tennessee SIP because Tennessee does not have federally approved New Source Review (NSR) regulations which apply to some of the sources in this chapter. EPA is approving the deletion of this rule for the Memphis submittal because the federally approved TN NSR applies to the Memphis-Shelby County area.

(d) The State of Tennessee proposed to delete rule 1200–3–18-.03 "Standard for New Sources" from the Tennessee State Implementation Plan (SIP). In paragraph (e) of this section, EPA disapproved the deletion of this rule because Tennessee did not have federally approved New Source Review (NSR) regulations that applied to some of the sources in this chapter. EPA is hereby approving the deletion of section 1200–3–18-.03 of the Tennessee SIP, and is deleting EPA's earlier disapproval in paragraph (e) of this section.

[39 FR 7284, Feb. 25, 1974, as amended at 48 FR 50080, Oct. 31, 1983; 50 FR 32413, Aug. 12, 1985; 51 FR 40677, Nov. 7, 1986; 59 FR 18317, Apr. 18, 1994; 60 FR 7917, Feb. 10, 1995; 60 FR 33924, June 29, 1995]

### §52.2229 Rules and regulations.

(a) The following portions of the revised Memphis and Shelby County regulations submitted on July 7, 1986, are disapproved because they are inconsistent with EPA policy and requirements:

16–77, Rules 1200–3–9–.01(3); 1200–3–9–.01(4)(o)(2)

(b) Knox County Regulation 25.2.B, submitted July 7, 1986, is disapproved because it is inconsistent with EPA policy and requirements.

[54 FR 25458, June 15, 1989, as amended at 54 FR 31954, Aug. 3, 1989]

### § 52.2230 [Reserved]

## § 52.2231 Control strategy: Sulfur oxides and particulate matter.

(a) Part D conditional approval. The Chattanooga primary TSP plan's provisions for review of new sources and modifications in the nonattainment area are approved on condition that